

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dec 1450; Advantable, www.usplos.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
19/066,455	01/30/2002	Chun-Shan Wang	CHEN-0093 (108330.00092)	2233	
75	90 12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
Jackson Walke			AYLWARD, DAVID E		
Richardson, TX	Expressway, Suite 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A-8			
, ,	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		066,455	WANG ET AL.	••			
		miner	Art Unit				
		rid E Aylward	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or if the period for reply specified above is less than thirle. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur Failure to reply within the set or extended period for real Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  Status	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I ommunication. oy (30) days, a reply within on statutory period will appli- eply will, by statute, cause so after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty y and will expire SIX (6) MONT the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  THS from the mailing date of this co	ly. communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,4,7,9,11,12,15,19 and 22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8,10,13,14,16-18,20,21 and 23-35 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers		roquii omone.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a) accepted	or b)  objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office act 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was include 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign Is 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first se	y documents have y documents have sof the priority docional Bureau (PCT ion for a list of the for domestic priored in the first sentinguage provisional for domestic priorional for domestic priorional documents have a list of the first sentinguage provisional for domestic priorional documents have document	been received. been received in Appuments have been received in Appuments have been received in Appuments have been received at application has been to under 35 U.S.C. Section of the specification has been to under 35 U.S.C. Section has been to u	plication No eceived in this National seceived. 119(e) (to a provisional ion or in an Application len received.	application) Data Sheet.			
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s)		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s rmal Patent Application (PTO				

Serial No. 10/066,455

Art Unit 1712

- Applicant's election without traverse of Group I,
   claims 1-25 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- 3. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2000344788, JP '788.
- 5. The Abstract provided by Chemical Abstracts is used as a guide to the contents of this reference. Compounds of Formulas 2 and 3 on the second page of the Abstract are reacted with (cured) epoxy resin. These two compositions anticipate hardener G of claim 1.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Serial No. 10/066,455

Art Unit 1712

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 08012692, JP '692.
- 8. The Abstract provided by Chemical Abstracts is used as a guide to the contents of this reference. From the Abstract it can be seen that compounds meeting the structure of (G) in claim 1 are known fire retardants for polymers. Since amides and amines are known to be hardeners for epoxy resins, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill who would be motivated to form a fire retardant epoxy resin composition to utilize these compounds as hardeners.
- 9. Claims 1, 4, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito (4,086,206).
- 10. Saito teaches amines (Abstract column 1 lines 40-65) which are both fire retardant and meet Formula (C) of claim 1. Since amines are known hardeners for epoxy resins, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill desiring to form a fire retardant cured epoxy composition to utilize these compounds as

Art Unit 1712

hardeners because Saito teaches these amines function as fire retardants for synthetic resins.

- 11. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 20, 21 and 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Aylward whose telephone number is  $(703)\ 308-2372$ . The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

20

DAylward:cdc November 21, 2003

> Robert Dawson Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Robert a Dawson